UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,172	09/09/2003	Satoru Horita	P23805	1034
	7590 09/18/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.0		EXAMINER	
	CLARKE PLACE	-	PETERSON, CHRISTOPHER K	
KESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2622	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/657,172	HORITA, SATORU  Art Unit  2622  Art Unit  2622  N/A.  It to if an agreement was at the Edgar reference in regrees and consideration was a the Edgar reference in regrees and consideration was at would render the claims.  THE SUBSTANCE OF THE addy been filed, APPLICANTRTY DAYS FROM THIS M, WHICHEVER IS LATER	<b>२</b> บ		
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CHRISTOPHER K. PETERSON	2622			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>CHRISTOPHER K. PETERSON</u> .	(3) <u>TIMOTHY HENN</u> .				
(2) <u>WILLIAM PIEPRZ</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>09 September 2008</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	t)∏ applicant's representative	·]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Edgar (2002/0176113).					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	)□ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative to claim 1</u> . The amended claim 1 seems to overcome the required. Examiner was missing page 3 from document AF representative faxed the missing page to the office.	e and Examiners discussed the eference of Edgar. Further se 202344 part of the IDS 8/12/20	e Edgar referend ach and conside 2008. Applicant's	ce in regards ration will be		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments that w				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO		
	/Timothy J Henn/	Authority Art Unit 2	622		